Sign In - Please click here to login and see classified information.

CM-Public

Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)4[1]

of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the protection of journalism and safety of journalists and other media actors

(Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 13 April 2016 at the 1253rd meeting of the Ministers' Deputies)

- 1. It is alarming and unacceptable that journalists and other media actors in Europe are increasingly being threatened, harassed, subjected to surveillance, intimidated, arbitrarily deprived of their liberty, physically attacked, tortured and even killed because of their investigative work, opinions or reporting, particularly when their work focuses on the misuse of power, corruption, human rights violations, criminal activities, terrorism and fundamentalism. These abuses and crimes have been extensively documented in authoritative reports published by the media, non-governmental organisations and human rights defenders.
- 2. Journalists and other media actors are often specifically targeted on account of their gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, ethnic identity, membership of a minority group religion, or other particular characteristics which may expose them to discrimination and dangers in the course of their work. Female journalists and other female media actors face specific gender-related dangers, including sexist, misogynist and degrading abuse; threats; intimidation; harassment and sexual aggression and violence. These violations are increasingly taking place online. There is a need for urgent, resolute and systemic responses.
- 3. The abuses and crimes described above, which in practice are committed by both State and non-State actors, have a grave chilling effect on freedom of expression, as safeguard by Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ETS No. 5, "the Convention"), including on the ability to access information, on the public watchdog role of journalists and other media actors and on open and vigorous public debate, all of which are essential in a democratic society. They are often met with insufficient efforts by relevant State authorities bring the perpetrators to justice, which leads to a culture of impunity and can fuel further threats and violence, and undermine public trust in the rule of law.
- 4. This alarming situation is not exclusively limited to professional journalists and other traditional media actors. As the European Court of Human Rights and many intergovernmental bodies have recognised, including the United Nations in its Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity and the Human Rights Committee i its General Comment No. 34, the definition of media actors has expanded as a result of new forms of media in the digital age. It therefore includes others who contribute to public debate and who perform journalistic activities or fulfil public watchdog functions.
- 5. Given the scale and severity of threats and attacks against journalists and other media actors in Europe and their damaging effects on the functioning of democratic society, far-reaching measures are necessary at the international and national levels in order to strengthen the protection of journalism and the safety of journalists and other media actors, and t eradicate impunity. The international community has repeatedly stated the need for a more effective implementation of existing international and regional standards and enhanced compliance with existing monitoring mechanisms and initiatives. Protecting journalists and other media actors and combating impunity for perpetrators of crimes against them are pressing political priorities across Council of Europe member States, as stated in the Declaration of the Committee of Ministers on the protection of journalism and safety of journalists and other media actors.
- 6. In order to create and secure a favourable environment for freedom of expression as guaranteed by Article 10 of the Convention, States must fulfil a range of positive obligation as identified in the relevant judgments of the European Court of Human Rights and set out in the principles appended to this recommendation. Such obligations are to be fulfilled by the executive, legislative and judicial branches of governments, as well as all other State authorities, including agencies concerned with maintaining public order and national security, and all levels federal, national, regional and local.
- 7. Under the terms of Article 15.b of the Statute of the Council of Europe (ETS No. 1), the Committee of Ministers recommends that governments of member States:
- i. implement, as a matter of urgency and through all branches of State authorities, the guidelines set out in the appendix to this recommendation, taking full account of the principles included there;
- ii. review relevant domestic laws and practice and revise them, as necessary, to ensure their conformity with States' obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights
- iii. promote the goals of this recommendation at the national level and engage and co-operate with all interested parties to achieve those goals.

Appendix to Recommendation CM/Rec(2016)4

I. Guidelines

These guidelines are designed to meet the many-faceted challenge of ensuring the effective protection of journalism and safety of journalists and other media actors, which necessitat coherent, complementary strategies by member States. They are based on the principles that are set out in this appendix and which constitute an integral part of the recommendatio The guidelines are organised into four pillars: prevention, protection, prosecution (including a specific focus on impunity) and promotion of information, education and awareness-raising. Within each pillar, detailed guidance is offered to member States on how to fulfil their relevant obligations, combining legal, administrative and practical measures.

Prevention

- 1. Member States should, in accordance with their constitutional and legislative traditions, ensure independence of the media and safeguard media pluralism, including the independence and sustainability of public-service media and community media, which are crucial elements of a favourable environment for freedom of expression.
- 2. Member States should put in place a comprehensive legislative framework that enables journalists and other media actors to contribute to public debate effectively and withou fear. Such a framework should reflect the principles set out in this appendix and thereby guarantee public access to information, privacy and data protection, confidentiality and secur of communications and protection of journalistic sources and whistle-blowers. The legislative framework, including criminal law provisions dealing with the protection of the physical and moral integrity of the person, should be implemented in an effective manner, including through administrative mechanisms and by recognising the particular roles of journalists and other media actors in a democratic society. The legislative framework and its implementation should guarantee effective protection of female journalists and other female media actors from gender-related dangers in the course of their work. Due attention should be paid to the importance of adequate labour and employment laws to protect journalists and other media actors from arbitrary dismissal or reprisals, and from precarious working conditions that may expose them to undue pressures to depart from accepted journalistic ethics and standards.
- 3. This legislative framework should be subject to independent, substantive review to ensure that safeguards for the exercise of the right to freedom of expression are robust and effective in practice and that the legislation is backed up by effective enforcement machinery. After an initial expeditious review, further reviews should be carried out at regular period intervals. The reviews of laws and practices should assess the compliance of the legislative framework and its application with authoritative European and international human rights standards, including all relevant positive obligations of States, and contain recommendations on the basis of its key findings. The reviews should cover existing and draft legislation, including that which concerns terrorism, extremism and national security, and any other legislation that affects the right to freedom of expression of journalists and other media actor and any other rights that are crucial for ensuring that their right to freedom of expression can be exercised in an effective manner.
- 4. The reviews may be carried out by one or more appropriate new or existing independent bodies that have authoritative mandates and are supported by sufficient resources. National authorities are urged to establish favourable conditions in which such reviews may take place, allowing for detailed public scrutiny and the drawing up of recommendations be organisations and experts acting independently of governmental, political, religious, commercial and other partisan influences. The reviewing body or bodies could be a national humarights commission, ombudsperson and/or another independent body established for the specific purposes described above. It is recommended that the reviewing body or bodies have an explicit mandate to collect, receive and use information from any source and be granted optimal access to documents and officials across all branches of State authorities. The review process should be transparent and include public hearings, facilitating the full and active participation of civil society, including representatives of journalist organisations, the media and the review of the restricted of the support of the review of the restricted of the review of the restricted of the review o
- 5. Provision should be made for the review reports to be formally submitted to relevant State authorities, in particular ministries, requiring a timely response by those authorities, including, as appropriate, corrective or other follow-up action to the findings and recommendations of the reviews. The findings and recommendations of the reviews should also be

systematically channelled into ongoing reporting, monitoring or information-sharing exercises at the Council of Europe, such as for the Committee of Ministers, the Parliamentary Assembly and the Commissioner for Human Rights. They may also be made available to similar exercises of other intergovernmental organisations, such as the UN Human Rights Committee, the UN Human Rights Council's Universal Periodic Review, UNESCO, the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights and the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) Representative on Freedom of the Media.

- 6. As part of the reviews of laws and practices, member States which have defamation laws should ensure that those laws include freedom of expression safeguards that conform European and international human rights standards, including truth/public-interest/fair comment defences and safeguards against misuse and abuse, in accordance with the Europea Convention on Human Rights and the principle of proportionality, as developed in the relevant judgments of the European Court of Human Rights. Furthermore, given the chilling effe that legislation criminalising particular types of expression has on freedom of expression and public debate, States should exercise restraint in applying such legislation, where it exists States should be guided in this regard by the European Court of Human Rights finding that the imposition of a prison sentence for a press offence is only permissible in exceptional circumstances, notably where other fundamental rights have been seriously impaired, for example, in the case of hate speech or incitement to violence. Such legislation should be subjected to similar critical scrutiny in the context of the reviews of laws and practices.
- 7. Member States should clarify the legal bases of State surveillance and interception of communications data and the procedural safeguards against misuse and abuse, such as th possibility of review by a competent judicial authority, due process and user notification. Member States should ensure the effective operation of oversight mechanisms for State surveillance of communications, to ensure transparency and accountability for the scope and nature of such practices. A range of stakeholders should be represented on such oversig bodies, including journalists and their organisations and legal and technical experts.

Protection

- 8. Legislation criminalising violence against journalists should be backed up by law enforcement machinery and redress mechanisms for victims (and their families) that are effective in practice. Clear and adequate provision should be made for effective injunctive and precautionary forms of interim protection for those who face threats of violence.
- 9. State authorities have a duty to prevent or suppress offences against individuals when they know, or should have known, of the existence of a real and immediate risk to the life or physical integrity of these individuals from the criminal acts of a third party and to take measures within the scope of their powers which, judged reasonably, might be expected to avoid that risk. To achieve this, member States should take appropriate preventive operational measures, such as providing police protection, especially when it is requested by journalists or other media actors, or voluntary evacuation to a safe place. Those measures should be effective and timely and should be designed with consideration for gender-specifi dangers faced by female journalists and other female media actors.
- 10. Member States should encourage the establishment of, and support the operation of, early-warning and rapid-response mechanisms, such as hotlines, online platforms or 24-hour emergency contact points, by media organisations or civil society, to ensure that journalists and other media actors have immediate access to protective measures when they ar threatened. If established and run by the State, such mechanisms should be subject to meaningful civil society oversight and guarantee protection for whistle-blowers and sources wh wish to remain anonymous. Member States are urged to wholeheartedly support and co-operate with the Council of Europe's platform to promote the protection of journalism and the safety of journalists and thereby help to strengthen the capacity of Council of Europe bodies to warn of and respond effectively to threats and violence against journalists and other media actors.
- 11. In all cases of deprivation of liberty of journalists or other media actors by the police or other law-enforcement officials, adequate procedural guarantees must be adhered to, in order to prevent unlawful detention or ill-treatment. Such procedural guarantees must include: the right to inform, or to have informed, a third party of their choice of their deprivatio of liberty, their location and any transfers; the right of access to a lawyer; the right of access to a medical doctor; and the right to challenge the lawfulness of the detention before a cou of law. Persons arrested or detained in relation to the commission of an offence must be brought promptly before a judge, and they have the right to a trial within a reasonable time o to be released pending trial, in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention (right to liberty and security).
- 12. Member States are urged to develop protocols and training programmes for all State authorities who are responsible for fulfilling State obligations concerning the protection of journalists and other media actors. Those protocols should be adapted to the nature and mandate of the State agency personnel in question, for example, judges, prosecutors, police officers, military personnel, prison wardens, immigration officials and other State authorities, as appropriate. The protocols and training programmes should be used to ensure that th personnel of all State agencies are fully aware of the relevant State obligations under international human rights law and humanitarian law and the actual implications of those obligations for each agency. The protocols and training programmes should be informed by an appreciation of the important role played by journalists and other media actors in a democratic society and of gender-specific issues.
- 13. Member States must exercise vigilance to ensure that legislation and sanctions are not applied in a discriminatory or arbitrary fashion against journalists and other media actor They should also take the necessary legislative and/or other measures to prevent the frivolous, vexatious or malicious use of the law and legal process to intimidate and silence journalists and other media actors. Member States should exercise similar vigilance to ensure that administrative measures such as registration, accreditation and taxation schemes a not used to harass journalists and other media actors, or to frustrate their ability to contribute effectively to public debate.
- 14. Member States should take into account the specific nature and democratic value of the role played by journalists and other media actors in particular contexts, such as in time of crisis, during election periods, at public demonstrations and in conflict zones. In these contexts in particular, it is important for law enforcement authorities to respect the role of journalists and other media actors covering demonstrations and other events. Press or union cards, relevant accreditation and journalistic insignia should be accepted by State authorities as journalistic credentials, and where it is not possible for journalists or other media actors to produce professional documentation, every possible effort should be made b State authorities to ascertain their status. Dialogue between State authorities and journalists' organisations is moreover encouraged in order to avoid friction or clashes between polic and members of the media.
- 15. State officials and public figures should not undermine or attack the integrity of journalists and other media actors, for example on the basis of their gender or ethnic identity, of by accusing them of disseminating propaganda, and thereby jeopardise their safety. Nor should they require, coerce or pressurise, by way of violence, threats, financial penalties or inducements or other measures, journalists and other media actors to derogate from accepted journalistic standards and professional ethics by engaging in the dissemination of propaganda or disinformation. State officials and public figures should publicly and unequivocally condemn all instances of threats and violence against journalists and other media actors, irrespective of the source of those threats and acts of violence.
- 16. Member States should encourage media organisations, while not encroaching on their editorial or operational autonomy, to fulfil their institutional responsibilities towards all journalists and other media actors working for them in salaried, freelance and all other capacities. This may include the adoption of in-house guidelines and procedures for the deployment of journalists and other media actors on difficult or dangerous assignments, for instance in conflict zones. Such deployment should be voluntary and informed. Institution responsibilities also include providing journalists and other media actors with adequate information, including on the risks involved, and requisite training in all matters of safety, digit security and privacy, as well as arranging for life assurance and health and travel insurance as part of a comprehensive and equitable package of work conditions. These institutional responsibilities additionally include, as relevant, the provision of legal support and representation and trauma counselling on return from assignments.

Prosecution

17. It is imperative that everyone involved in killings of, attacks on and ill-treatment of journalists and other media actors be brought to justice. Investigations into such crimes and the prosecution of those responsible for them must therefore meet a number of general requirements. When those responsible for such crimes are not brought to justice, a culture of impunity can arise, which calls for particular courses of action.

General requirements

- 18. Investigations into killings, attacks and ill-treatment must be effective and therefore respect the essential requirements of adequacy, thoroughness, impartiality and independence, promptness and public scrutiny.
- 19. Investigations must be effective in the sense that they are capable of leading to the establishment of the facts as well as the identification and eventually, if appropriate, punishment of those responsible. The authorities must take every reasonable step to collect all the evidence concerning the incident. The conclusions of the investigation must be based on thorough, objective and impartial analysis of all the relevant elements, including the establishment of whether there is a connection between the threats and violence agains journalists and other media actors and the exercise of journalistic activities or contributing in similar ways to public debate. State authorities are also obliged to investigate the existen of a possible link between racist attitudes and an act of violence. The relevance of gender-related issues should also be investigated.

20. For an investigation to be effective, the persons responsible for, and who are carrying out, the investigation must be independent and impartial, in law and in practice. Any pers or institution implicated in any way with a case must be excluded from any role in investigating it. Moreover, investigations should be carried out by specialised, designated units of relevant State authorities in which officials have been given adequate training in international human rights norms and safeguards. Investigations must be effective in order to mainta public confidence in the authorities' maintenance of the rule of law, to prevent any appearance of collusion in or tolerance of unlawful acts and, in those cases involving State agents or bodies, to ensure their accountability for deaths occurring under their responsibility. Investigations should also be subject to public oversight, and in all cases the victim's next of kin must be involved in the procedure to the extent necessary to safeguard his or her legitimate interests.

- 21. Member States have an obligation to take all necessary steps to bring the perpetrators of crimes against journalists and other media actors to justice, whether they are State actors or not. Investigations and prosecutions should consider all of the different actual and potential roles in these crimes, such as authors, instigators, perpetrators and accomplices, and the criminal liability that arises from each of those roles.
- 22. Member States are obliged to ensure the integrity of court proceedings; they must guarantee the independence and impartiality of the judiciary. They must also ensure the safety of judges, prosecutors, lawyers and witnesses involved in prosecutions for crimes against journalists and other media actors.
- 23. Member States must ensure that effective and appropriate remedies are available to victims and, as relevant, to their families, including legal remedies, financial compensation, medical and psychological treatment, relocation and shelter. Remedies should take due account of cultural, ethnic, religious gender-related and other aspects. An ongoing or pending criminal prosecution should not preclude victims from seeking civil remedies.

Impunity

- 24. When prosecutions for crimes against journalists and other media actors are not initiated or are obstructed in different ways, unacceptable delays to the administration of justi are created and give rise to impunity for those responsible for the crimes. Therefore, when a State agent has been charged with crimes involving ill-treatment, it is of the utmost importance that criminal proceedings and sentencing are not time-barred. In order to maintain public trust in the justice system, measures such as the granting of an amnesty or pardon should not be envisaged or accepted without convincing reasons. The law should provide for additional or aggravated penalties to be applicable to public officials who, by neglect, complicity or design, act in a way that prevents or obstructs the investigation, prosecution or punishment of those responsible for crimes against journalists or other media actors on account of their work or contribution to public debate.
- 25. When investigations and prosecutions do not result in bringing to justice the perpetrators of killings of journalists or other media actors, or other serious crimes against them, member States may consider establishing special judicial or non-judicial inquiries into specific cases or independent specialised bodies to conduct such inquiries on an ongoing basis. T latter may have special authority and involve participation or leadership by respected media and/or civil society figures, with the aim of advancing the process of fact-finding, without prejudice to the responsibility of the State prosecuting and investigating authorities to bring the perpetrators to justice.
- 26. Member States should enhance the co-operation and exchange of information, expertise and best practices with other States whenever crimes against journalists and other media actors involve cross-border or online dimensions, subject to safeguards for the rights to privacy, data protection and the presumption of innocence.
- 27. Member States should proactively and vigorously pursue the priorities of protecting journalists and other media actors and combating impunity in all relevant regional and international intergovernmental forums and, more generally, in their foreign policy and relations. This could involve co-operating fully with information-gathering, awareness-raising at other initiatives co-ordinated by international and regional intergovernmental organisations concerning the safety of journalists and other media actors, in particular periodic State reporting processes, for example to the UN Human Rights Committee, as part of the UN Human Rights Council's Universal Periodic Review and to the Director-General of UNESCO on the actions taken to prevent the impunity of perpetrators and on the status of judicial inquiries on each of the killings of journalists condemned by UNESCO. This would also include member States' roles and responsibility in the supervision of the execution of the judgments of the European Court of Human Rights by the Council of Europe Committee of Ministers and providing prompt and full responses to ad hoc requests by the Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights and the OSCE Representative on Freedom of the Media.

Promotion of information, education and awareness raising

- 28. Member States should promote the translation (into the national and minority languages of the country) and the widest possible dissemination of this recommendation, as we as awareness raising about its content in a variety of publicity materials. Information and awareness-raising strategies should include specific campaigns designed to capitalise on the publicity opportunities provided by internationally designated days such as World Press Freedom Day (3 May), International Day to End Impunity for Crimes against Journalists (2 November) and International Right to Know Day (28 September). Member States should co-operate fully with information-gathering, awareness-raising and other initiatives co-ordinated by international and regional intergovernmental organisations concerning the safety of journalists and other media actors. In doing so, they should proactively highlight, as appropriate, gender-specific issues and those concerning impermissible grounds for discrimination.
- 29. Member States should encourage relevant bodies to give prominence to this recommendation and educational materials dealing with all the issues it addresses, including gender-specific issues in training programmes in journalism schools and as part of continuing education for journalists, and media and information literacy initiatives.
- 30. Member States should develop a partnership with civil society and the media for the promotion of best practices for the protection of journalists and other media actors and for combating impunity. This should involve putting into practice the principles of open government and open justice and adopting a constructive and responsive attitude to civil society and media reporting on threats and violence against journalists and other media actors, highlighting gender-specific and other issues, as appropriate. It should also involve active cooperation in publicising and educating about relevant issues and standards.

II. Principles

The preceding recommendation, including its guidelines, is based on an extensive body of principles anchored in the European Convention on Human Rights and in the relevant judgments and decisions of the European Court of Human Rights. A relevant selection of these principles are set out and contextualised in the following paragraphs. The principles have been grouped into the following categories: freedom of expression; enabling environment; safety, security, protection; contribution to public debate and chilling effect.

Freedom of expression

- 1. The right to freedom of expression, as enshrined in Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights, Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 19 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and other international and regional instruments, is a fundamental human right enjoyed by everyone, offline and online, without discrimination. It is a compound right, comprising the right to hold opinions and the rights to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds without interference and regardless of frontiers.
- 2. The right to freedom of expression and information, as guaranteed by Article 10 of the Convention, constitutes one of the essential foundations of a democratic society and one of the basic conditions for its progress and the development of every individual. Freedom of expression is applicable not only to "information" or "ideas" that are favourably received c regarded as inoffensive or as a matter of indifference, but also to those that offend, shock or disturb the State or any sector of the population. In this way, freedom of expression facilitates robust public debate, which is another prerequisite of a democratic society characterised by pluralism, tolerance and broadmindedness. Any interference with the right to freedom of expression of journalists and other media actors therefore has societal repercussions as it is also an interference with the right of others to receive information and ideas an interference with public debate.
- 3. The exercise of the right to freedom of expression carries with it duties and responsibilities, as stated in Article 10, paragraph 2. In the context of journalism, relevant duties and responsibilities are understood as including acting in good faith in order to provide accurate and reliable information, in accordance with the ethics of journalism.
- 4. While the right to freedom of expression is not absolute, an interference with this right is only permitted if it is prescribed by law, pursues one of the legitimate aims set out in Article 10, paragraph 2 of the Convention, is necessary in a democratic society which implies that it corresponds to a pressing social need and is proportionate to the legitimate aim pursued. These aims are: national security, territorial integrity or public safety, the prevention of disorder or crime, the protection of health or morals, the protection of the reputation rights of others, preventing the disclosure of information received in confidence and maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary.
- 5. Moreover, some types of hate speech which incite violence or hatred fall under Article 17 of the Convention (prohibition of abuse of rights) and are therefore not afforded protection because their aim is to destroy some of the rights and freedoms set forth in the Convention.
- 6. All human rights are universal, indivisible, interdependent and interrelated and there is important interplay between the right to freedom of expression and other human rights,

such as the rights to freedom of thought, conscience and religion, the right to freedom of assembly and association and the right to vote in free and fair elections.

- 7. Other human rights associated with issues surrounding the safety of journalists and other media actors and the fight against impunity include: the right to life (Article 2), the prohibition of torture (Article 3), the right to liberty and security (Article 5), the right to a fair trial (Article 6), no punishment without law (Article 7), the right to respect for private and family life (Article 8) and the right to an effective remedy (Article 13).
- 8. The Convention is a living instrument which is to be interpreted in light of present-day conditions and in a way that ensures that all of the rights it guarantees are not theoretical or illusory but practical and effective, both in terms of the substance of those rights and the remedies available in case of their violation.
- 9. Ongoing technological developments have transformed the traditional media environment, as described, inter alia, in CM/Rec(2011)7 on a new notion of media, leading to new conceptions of media and new understandings of the evolving media ecosystem. Advances in information and communication technologies have made it easier for an increasingly broad and diverse range of actors to participate in public debate. Consequently, the European Court of Human Rights has repeatedly recognised that individuals, civil society organisations, whistle-blowers and academics, in addition to professional journalists and media, can all make valuable contributions to public debate, thereby playing a role similar or equivalent to that traditionally played by the institutionalised media and professional journalists.
- 10. The UN Human Rights Committee has similarly stated that "journalism is a function shared by a wide range of actors, including professional full-time reporters and analysts, as well as bloggers and others who engage in forms of self-publication in print, on the Internet or elsewhere". The UN General Assembly has also acknowledged that "journalism is continuously evolving to include inputs from media institutions, private individuals and a range of organisations that seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, online as well as offline ... thereby contributing to shape public debate". According to the UN Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity, "the protection of journalist should not be limited to those formally recognised as journalists, but should cover others, including community media workers and citizen journalists and others who may be using not media as a means of reaching their audiences".
- 11. The obligation on States to ensure the effective exercise of human rights involves not only negative obligations of non-interference, but also positive obligations to secure those rights to everyone within their jurisdiction.
- 12. Genuine, effective exercise of freedom of expression may require various positive measures for protection, even in the sphere of relations between individuals. These positive obligations include, among others: to create a favourable environment for participation in public debate for everyone and to enable the expression of ideas and opinions without fear; to put in place an effective system of protection for authors and journalists; to afford protection against physical violence and intimidation; to protect life; to investigate fatalities; and the duty to prevent torture and ill-treatment.

Enabling environment

- 13. A favourable or enabling environment for freedom of expression has a number of essential features which collectively create the conditions in which freedom of expression and information and vigorous public debate can thrive. The right to receive information embraces a right of access to information. The public has a right to receive information and ideas o public interest, which journalists and other media actors have the task of imparting. The gathering of information is an essential preparatory step in journalism and an inherent, protected part of press freedom. The participation of journalists and other media actors in public debate on matters of legitimate public concern must not be discouraged, for example by measures that make access to information more cumbersome or by arbitrary restrictions, which may become a form of indirect censorship.
- 14. The media ecosystem is shaped by the interplay of legal, political, socio-cultural, economic, technological and other influences and its vitality is crucial for ensuring an enabling environment for freedom of expression and information in democratic society. One feature of the media ecosystem is that individuals have become empowered as a result of new technologies that facilitate their ability to participate in public debate. Another feature of the media ecosystem is that online intermediaries may carry out an influential gate-keeping function in respect of public debate that is conducted via their private networks, such as social media. It must be recalled that online intermediaries are indirectly bound to respect the users' right to freedom of expression and other human rights.
- 15. Media pluralism and diversity of media content are essential for the functioning of a democratic society and are the corollaries of the fundamental right to freedom of expressic and information as guaranteed by Article 10 of the Convention. States have a positive obligation to guarantee pluralism in the media sector, which entails ensuring that a diversity of voices, including critical ones, can be heard. Independent media regulatory authorities can play an important role in upholding media freedom and pluralism and States should therefore safeguard their independence. The adoption and effective implementation of media-ownership regulation also plays an important role in this respect. Such regulation should ensure transparency in media ownership and prevent its concentration where this is detrimental to pluralism. It should address issues such as indirect and cross-media ownership, an appropriate restrictions on media ownership by persons holding public office.
- 16. In the course of their work, journalists and other media actors often face specific risks, dangers and discrimination on grounds of their gender, gender identity, sexual orientatio race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth or other status. Moreover, the pursuit of particul stories and coverage of particular issues (such as sensitive political, religious, economic or societal topics, including misuse of power, corruption and criminal activities) can also expose journalists and other media actors to threats, attacks, abuse and harassment by State and/or non-State actors. Non-State actors could, for instance, be terrorist or criminal groups. These specific situations should be taken into account when affording effective preventive or protective measures.
- 17. Female journalists and other female media actors face specific gender-related dangers in the course of their work, such as threats, (sexual) aggression and violence, in targeted ways, in the context of mob-related sexual violence or sexual abuse while in detention. These dangers are often compounded by various factors, such as under-reporting, under-documentation, lack of access to justice, social barriers and constraints concerning gender-based violence, including stigmatisation, lack of recognition of the seriousness of the proble and discriminatory attitudes by extremist sections of society. A systematic, gender-sensitive approach is required to prevent and combat these specific dangers, as well as to counter tunderlying societal customs, practices, gender stereotypes, prejudices and discrimination on which they feed. Primary responsibility for developing such strategies lies with State authorities, but media, civil society and corporate organisations also have important roles to play: a gender-specific perspective should be a central feature of all measures and programmes dealing with the protection of journalists and other media actors and the fight against impunity.
- 18. Being able to exercise the right to freedom of expression without fear implies that, as a minimum, the safety, security and protection are guaranteed effectively in practice for everyone, in particular journalists and other media actors, and there is an expectation that they can contribute to public debate without fear and without having to modify their conduct due to fear. Fear can arise from online harassment, threats and cyberattacks, and other illegal behaviour, including trolling, cyberstalking and hacking of e-mail and social med accounts, electronic storage, websites and mobile phones or other devices. Online harassment, threats, abuse and violations of digital security tend to target female journalists and other female media actors in particular, which calls for gender-specific responses. Threats and violence are not the only sources of fear, however. Fear can also be generated by (the threat or reasonable expectation of) a range of legal, political, socio-cultural and economic pressures, which can be exacerbated in times of economic crisis and financial austerity.
- 19. Threats to, and intimidation of, journalists and other media actors can often be seen as indicators or warning signals of wider or escalating threats to freedom of expression in society. As such, they point to a more general deterioration in human rights, democracy and rule of law.

Safety, security, protection

- 20. The State must guarantee the safety and physical integrity of everyone within its jurisdiction and this entails not only the negative obligation to refrain from the intentional and unlawful taking of life, but also the positive obligation to take appropriate steps to safeguard the lives of those within its jurisdiction. This positive obligation has substantive and procedural dimensions.
- 21. The substantive dimension involves a primary obligation for the State to secure the right to life by putting in place effective criminal law provisions to deter the commission of offences against individuals, backed up by law enforcement machinery for the prevention, suppression and punishment of breaches of such provisions. This also extends, in appropria circumstances, to a positive obligation on the authorities to take preventive operational measures to protect individuals whose lives are at risk from the criminal acts of another individual. Bearing in mind the difficulties in policing modern societies, the unpredictability of human conduct and the operational choices which must be made in terms of priorities ar resources, the scope of the positive obligation must be interpreted in a way which does not impose an impossible or disproportionate burden on the authorities. Nevertheless, the authorities should pay attention to the vulnerable position in which journalists who cover politically sensitive topics place themselves vis-à-vis those in power.
- 22. Unregulated and arbitrary action by State agents is incompatible with effective respect for human rights. This means that, as well as being authorised under national law, policir operations, including the policing of public demonstrations, must be sufficiently regulated by it, within a system of adequate and effective safeguards against arbitrariness and abuse c

force, and even against avoidable accident. This implies a need to take into consideration not only the actions of the law enforcement agents of the State who actually use force but als all the surrounding circumstances, including such matters as the planning and control of the actions under examination. A legal and administrative framework should define the limite circumstances in which law enforcement officials may use force and firearms, in the light of the international standards which have been developed on this topic. In this respect, a clear chain of command, coupled with clear guidelines and criteria are required; specific human rights training can help to formulate such guidelines and criteria. In any case, the undeniable difficulties inherent in the fight against crime cannot justify placing limits on the protection to be afforded in respect of the physical integrity of individuals and Article 3 of the Convention does not allow authorities to weigh the physical integrity of an individual against the aim of maintaining public order.

- 23. The procedural dimension involves a positive obligation on the State to carry out effective, independent and prompt investigations into alleged unlawful killings or ill-treatment either by State or non-State actors, with a view to prosecuting the perpetrators of such crimes and bringing them to justice. Article 13 of the Convention also requires States to ensure that an effective remedy is available whenever any of the substantive rights in the Convention are violated.
- 24. The absence of such effective measures gives rise to the existence of a culture of impunity, which leads to the tolerance of abuses and crimes against journalists and other medi actors. When there is little or no prospect of prosecution, perpetrators of such abuses and crimes do not fear punishment. This inflicts additional suffering on victims and can lead to tl repetition of abuses and crimes.
- 25. The State has an obligation to guarantee the substantive liberty of everyone within its jurisdiction and to that end must ensure that journalists and other media actors are not subjected to arbitrary arrest, unlawful detention or enforced disappearance.
- 26. The State should not unduly restrict the free movement of journalists and other media actors, including cross-border movement and access to particular areas, conflict zones, sites and forums, as appropriate, because such mobility and access is important for news and information-gathering purposes.
- 27. The effectiveness of a system of protection may be influenced by contextual factors, such as in crisis or conflict situations, where there are heightened risks for the safety and independence of journalists and other media actors, and where State authorities may experience difficulties in exerting *de facto* control over the territory. Nevertheless, the relevant State obligations apply *mutatis mutandis* in such specific contexts, which are at all times subject to international human rights law and international humanitarian law.
- 28. Ensuring the safety and security of journalists and other media actors is a precondition for ensuring their ability to participate effectively in public debate. The persistence of intimidation, threats and violence against journalists and other media actors, coupled with the failure to bring to justice the perpetrators of such offences, engender fear and have a chilling effect on freedom of expression and on public debate. States are under a positive obligation to protect journalists and other media actors against intimidation, threats and violence irrespective of their source, whether governmental, judicial, religious, economic or criminal.

Contribution to public debate

- 29. Journalists and other media actors make an essential contribution to public debate and opinion-making processes in a democratic society by acting as public or social watchdog and by creating shared spaces for the exchange of information and ideas and for discussion. Their watchdog role involves, *inter alia*, informing the public about matters of public intere commenting on them, holding public authorities and other powerful forces in society to account and exposing corruption and abuse of power.
- 30. In order to enable journalists and other media actors to fulfil the tasks ascribed to them in a democratic society, the European Court of Human Rights has recognised that their right to freedom of expression should enjoy a broad scope of protection. Such protection includes a range of freedoms that are of functional relevance to the pursuit of their activities such as: protection of confidential sources, protection against searches of professional workplaces and private domiciles and the seizure of materials, protection of news and information-gathering processes, and editorial and presentational autonomy.
- 31. The operational or functionally relevant freedoms enjoyed by journalists and other media actors, which cover news and information-gathering, processing and dissemination activities, are necessary for their right to freedom of expression to be practical and effective, both offline and online.
- 32. Article 10 of the Convention protects not only the ideas and information expressed, but also the manner in which they are conveyed. This implies that journalists and other media actors have the freedom to choose their own technique or style for reporting on matters of public interest, which includes possible recourse to a degree of exaggeration, or eve provocation. In addition to reporting, other genres contribute to public debate in different ways and should accordingly be protected, such as satire, which is a form of artistic expression and social commentary and, by its inherent features of exaggeration and distortion of reality, naturally aims to provoke and agitate.

Chilling effect

- 33. A chilling effect on freedom of expression arises when an interference with this right causes fear, leading to self-censorship and ultimately the impoverishment of public debate, which is to the detriment of society as a whole. Accordingly, State authorities should avoid taking measures or imposing sanctions that have the effect of discouraging participation in public debate.
- 34. Legislation and how it is applied in practice can give rise to a chilling effect on freedom of expression and public debate. Interferences that take the form of criminal sanctions have a greater chilling effect than those constituting civil sanctions. Thus, the dominant position of State institutions requires the authorities to show restraint in resorting to criminal proceedings. A chilling effect on freedom of expression can arise not only from any sanction, disproportionate or not, but also the fear of sanction, even in the event of an eventual acquittal, considering the likelihood of such fear discouraging one from making similar statements in the future.
- 35. Although sentencing is in principle a matter for the national courts, the imposition of a prison sentence for a press offence will be compatible with journalists' freedom of expression as guaranteed by Article 10 of the Convention only in exceptional circumstances, notably where other fundamental rights have been seriously impaired, as, for example, in the case of hate speech or incitement to violence.
- 36. Actual misuse, abuse or threatened use of different types of legislation to prevent contributions to public debate, including defamation, anti-terrorism, national security, public order, hate speech, blasphemy and memory laws can prove effective as means of intimidating and silencing journalists and other media actors reporting on matters of public interest. The frivolous, vexatious or malicious use of the law and legal process, with the high legal costs required to fight such law suits, can become a means of pressure and harassment, especially in the context of multiple law suits. The harassment can prove particularly acute when it concerns journalists and other media actors who do not benefit from the same lega protection or financial and institutional backing as those offered by large media organisations. In this respect, it should be recalled that it is central to the concept of a fair trial, in civil a in criminal proceedings, that a litigant is not denied the opportunity to present his or her case effectively before the court and that he or she is able to enjoy equality of arms with the opposing side. States are therefore required to take appropriate measures, which could include the institution of a legal aid scheme, in order to ensure that each side is afforded a reasonable opportunity to present his or her case.
- 37. A chilling effect also results from the arbitrary use of administrative measures such as registration and accreditation schemes for journalists, bloggers, Internet users, foreign correspondents, NGOs, etc., and tax schemes, in order to harass journalists and other media actors, or to frustrate their ability to contribute effectively to public debate. The discriminatory allocation of public media or press subsidies or of State advertising revenue can also produce a chilling effect on critical editorial lines pursued by the media, in particula for smaller media organisations and in precarious economic climates.
- 38. The surveillance of journalists and other media actors, and the tracking of their online activities, can endanger the legitimate exercise of freedom of expression if carried out without the necessary safeguards. They can also threaten the safety of the persons concerned and undermine the protection of journalists' sources. Surveillance and tracking are facilitated when the integrity of communications and systems are compromised, for example, when service providers or hardware or software manufacturers build surveillance capabilities or backdoors into their services or systems, or when service providers are implicated in State surveillance practices. In order for systems of secret surveillance to be compatible with Article 8 of the Convention, they must contain adequate and effective safeguards against abuse, including independent supervision, since such systems designed to protect national security entail the risk of undermining or even destroying democracy on the ground of defending it.
- 39. Attacks on and intimidation of journalists and other media actors inevitably have a grave chilling effect on freedom of expression and this effect is all the more piercing when the prevalence of attacks and intimidation is compounded by a culture of legal impunity for their perpetrators. Such a culture of legal impunity is an indicator of endemic abuse of human rights.
- [1] When adopting this recommendation, the Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation indicated that, in accordance with Article 10.2c of the Rules of Procedure for the meetings of the Ministers'

Deputies, he reserved the right of his government to comply or not with the recommendation, in so far as it referred to other media actors.

Related documents

No related documents