EXTENDED CONTENTS

Preface					
Table	ises	xvi			
Table	of le	gislation	xxiii		
1	IN	FRODUCTION			
	1.	THE EIR'S JURISDICTIONAL FRAMEWORK IN HISTORY	1.001		
		a. The 'draft Bankruptcy Convention'	1.001		
		b. Why did Member States initially prefer 'universality'?	1.005		
		c. Approaching the limited universalism through cross-fertilization with works			
		from other international organizations	1.017		
		d. A European private international law code for cross-border insolvency proceedings	1.023		
	2.	THE RECAST	1.026		
	3.	TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF THE EIR'S JURISDICTIONAL REGIME	1.038		
		a. The EIR and third countries	1.040		
		b. A look at the 1997 UNCITRAL Model Law through the COMI's lens	1.045		
		c. The United Kingdom case	1.048		
	4.	THE NOTION OF 'COURT' WHOSE JURISDICTION MATTERS	1.052		
	5.	JURISDICTION ALONG THE BRIDGE CONNECTING THE EIR AND THE BRUSSELS IBIS			
		REGULATION	1.058		
		a. The 'insolvency exception' and the sliding doors between the EIR and the Brussels			
		Ibis Regulation. The new increasing background of out-of-court proceedings	1.058		
		b. Regulatory coordination: 'ancillary actions' and forum connexitatis	1.069		
	6.	JURISDICTION AND APPLICABLE LAW	1.071		
	7.	JURISDICTION AND RECOGNITION	1.081		
		a. Mutual trust and mutual recognition	1.081		
		b. The restricted role of public policy	1.089		
		c. Due process	1.092		
	8.	JURISDICTION AND COOPERATION	1.096		
	9.	THE BACKGROUND OF MULTI-PRONGED POLICIES IN CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY	1.100		
		a. Jurisdictional legal certainty and Capital Markets Union policies	1.102		
		b. Jurisdictional legal certainty and EU freedom of establishment	1.108		
2	JU	RISDICTION TO OPEN AND SUPERVISE THE INSOLVENCY			
	PR	OCEEDINGS			
	1.	INTRODUCTION	2.001		
	2.	JURISDICTION OVER THE MAIN INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS	2.005		
		a. COMI as a ground of jurisdiction: notion and <i>rationale</i>	2.005		
		b. Shaping the presumptions upon the debtor's nature	2.017		
	3.	ELEMENTS OF THE COMI	2.024		
		a. Rebuttable presumptions between legal and factual assessments	2.024		
		b. Making sense of the third-party perspective, even in the business digital world	2.033		
		c. Elaborating on the company's COMI	2.040		
		d. Material and immaterial 'interests'	2.048		
	4.	GROUP INSOLVENCY	2.053		
	••				

	5.	JURISDICTION AND COMI RELOCATION	2.064
		a. The background of the EU freedom of establishment	2.064
		b. Relocation after filing the request to open the proceedings	2.069
		c. Relocation before filing the request to open the proceedings	2.074
		d. Making sense of anti-abusive <i>forum</i> shopping clauses	2.079
		e. Anti-abusive forum shopping clauses and general criteria for determining	
		the COMI	2.085
		f. Detecting abusive behaviours: the courts' role	2.089
	6.	JURISDICTION OVER SECONDARY/TERRITORIAL PROCEEDINGS	2.007
	0.	a. Opening secondary/territorial proceedings in the 'establishment'	2.097
		b. Finding an 'establishment'. Seeking an 'economic activity'	2.102
		b.1 Place of operations	2.102
		b.2 Human means, organization, and stability	2.103
			2.104
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		c. Presence of assets	2.109
		d. Factual assessments depending on the debtor's nature	2.114
		e. Secondary and territorial proceedings	2.122
	_	f. The critical time to assess the establishment	2.131
	7.	THE DOMINANCE OF THE MAIN PROCEEDINGS AND ITS IMPACT ON JURISDICTION	
		OVER SECONDARY PROCEEDINGS	2.132
3	11.1	RISDICTION UNDER <i>VIS ATTRACTIVA</i> AND CONSOLIDATION	
3			3.001
	1.	MAKING SENSE OF A EUROPEAN VIS ATTRACTIVA CONCURSUS	
	2.	THE GOURDAIN CRITERIA	3.008
		a. Historic remarks	3.008
		b. The 'direct derivation' under the action's legal basis	3.017
		b.1 Avoidance actions	3.020
		b.2 Other actions	3.035
		b.3 Set-off exceptions	3.045
		c. Action's legal basis and national law	3.052
		d. The 'close link' and the procedural context	3.055
		e. Recap and concluding remarks on 'direct derivation' and 'close link'	3.063
		f. Direct derivation, close link, and Directive 2019/1023 against the background of	
		not-yet well-harmonized insolvency rules	3.065
		g. Vis attractiva and secondary insolvency proceedings	3.068
	3.	CONSOLIDATING INSOLVENCY-RELATED AND NON-INSOLVENCY-RELATED ACTIONS	3.077
		a. An option in the hands of insolvency practitioners	3.077
		b. Meaning of 'related actions' in the EIR context	3.082
	4.	JURISDICTION AND INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS	3.086
	5.	HANDLING PARALLEL CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL PROCEEDINGS	3.099
		a. General remarks	3.099
		b. The Oilchart case: admissibility of parallel civil actions and the lex concursus'	
		dominium	3.103
		c. Choice-of-court agreements	3.120
		c.1 Disputed claims, choice-of-court agreements and petition to open the	50
		insolvency proceedings	3.127
	6.	RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT	3.133
	7.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	3.136
	7.	CONCLODING REMARKS	3.130
4	Ш	RISDICTION ON PRESERVATION AND RECOVERY MEASURES	
•	1.	INTRODUCTION	4.001
	2.	COMI AND ESTABLISHMENT AS (NON-EXCLUSIVE) GROUNDS OF JURISDICTION	1.501
	۷.	ON PRESERVATION MEASURES	4.008
	3.	ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERING (ATR) IN CROSS-BORDER SITUATIONS	4.008
	٦.	a. Overview	4.018
		a. Overview	1 .010

EXTENDED CONTENTS

		b.	Digital assets	4.022
	4.		RATERRITORIAL PRESERVATION MEASURES: THE ROLE OF INSOLVENCY	
		PRAG	CTITIONERS	4.031
		a.	Extraterritorial measures	4.034
		b.	Preservation measures and rights in rem under Article 8 of the EIR	4.043
		c.	Coordinating ATR in the case of multiple insolvency proceedings	4.051
	5.		SERVATION MEASURES IN FAVOUR OF LOCAL CREDITORS: VIRTUAL	
			ONDARY PROCEEDINGS VS GENUINE SECONDARY PROCEEDINGS	4.054
	6.		ACT OF PUBLIC POLICY	4.062
		a.	Discrepancies between lex fori concursus and lex loci	4.062
	7	b.	Public policy and 'fair' preservation measures	4.065
	7.		I-SUIT INJUNCTIONS	4.072
	8.		KING BEYOND THE EIR FOR ATTACHING BANK ACCOUNTS. THE EUROPEAN OUNT PRESERVATION ORDER	4.082
		a.	Jurisdiction to issue an EAPO	4.062
		a. b.	EAPO and rights <i>in rem</i> under Article 8 of the EIR	4.091
	9.		KING BEYOND THE EIR FOR EVIDENTIARY. THE EVIDENCE REGULATION	4.096
	ر 10.		EC PROPOSAL FOR HARMONIZING ASSET TRACING	4.106
	11.		KING OUTSIDE THE EU JUDICIAL SPACE	4.114
	12.		CIAL JURISDICTIONAL RESTRICTIONS	4.120
	12.	a.	State assets	4.120
		b.	Assets frozen by restrictive measures (sanctions)	4.127
_	CD		L HIDICDICTIONAL DECIMES	
5			L JURISDICTIONAL REGIMES	F 001
	1.		ODUCTION COLUMN AND CONTRACTS BELATING TO MANOVARI E PROPERTY	5.001
	2. 3.		SDICTION AND CONTRACTS RELATING TO IMMOVABLE PROPERTY	5.005
	3. 4.		SDICTION AND CONTRACTS OF EMPLOYMENT SDICTION AND COOPERATION	5.018 5.027
	4.		Introductory remarks	5.027
		a. b.	Cooperation between insolvency practitioners	5.033
		D. С.	Cooperation between insolvency practitioners Cooperation in restructuring	5.036
		d.	Using and distributing assets	5.038
		e.	Court-to-court cooperation	5.042
		f.	Cross-cooperation between insolvency practitioners and courts	5.051
	5.		SDICTIONAL ISSUES UNDER THE PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES ON	3.031
	٥.		IMUNICATION AND COOPERATION	5.059
	6.		IPLIANCE WITH THE LEX CONCURSUS	5.064
	7.		TOCOLS	5.068
	8.		SDICTION IN GROUP INSOLVENCY COOPERATION AND COORDINATION	5.080
		a.	Overview of the group insolvency provisions	5.080
		b.	Multiple proceedings: cooperation and cross-jurisdiction on the requests	
			of stay	5.085
		c.	The jurisdiction to open the coordination proceedings	5.089
		d.	Choice-of-court agreements and group coordination proceedings	5.095
		e.	The decision to open the coordination proceeding	5.099
		f.	The coordinator and the jurisdictions of the insolvency proceedings	5.104
		g.	Concluding remarks	5.110
6	SF.	TTI II	NG DISPUTES ON JURISDICTION	
•	J.		DLING THE QUAESTIO IURISDICTIONS. DUTIES OF COURTS	6.001
	••	a.	Jurisdictional disputes	6.001
		b.	The burden of proof	6.007
		c.	Settling jurisdictional disputes and mutual trust	6.010
		d.	Out-of-court proceedings	6.012
	2.	REM	EDIES	6.014

EXTENDED CONTENTS

	3.	 a. Uniform and national instruments at the disposal of debtors and creditors b. Making sense of 'reviewing' c. Linking the remedy to the quaestio iurisdictionis d. The integrative role of the lex fori REVOKING THE OPENING. IMPACT ON FOREIGN JURISDICTIONAL ASSESSMENTS AND PARALLEL PROCEEDINGS a. Introduction b. Impact on parallel pending rulings on the COMI c. Safeguarding procedural acts d. The impact on the COMI's determination 	6.014 6.017 6.020 6.022 6.024 6.024 6.026 6.030 6.036
	4.	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE CONFLICTS OF JURISDICTION	6.038
7	JU 1. 2.	RISDICTION AND ADR IN EU CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY INTRODUCTION CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY AND ARBITRATION a. Arbitrability and insolvency b. Insolvency proceedings and arbitration agreements b.1 Disputed claims, arbitration agreements and petition to open the insolvency proceedings c. Insolvency-related disputes, non-insolvency-related disputes, and arbitration d. Insolvency proceedings and pending arbitration e. Insolvency proceedings, recognition and enforcement of awards INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS AND 'GENUINE' ADRS a. The recourse to 'mediation' in multinational group insolvency b. Welcoming ADRs under the lex concursus A LOOK AT ADRS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE 2019 RESTRUCTURING DIRECTIVE	7.001 7.008 7.012 7.021 7.026 7.031 7.036 7.040 7.052 7.052 7.060 7.065
8 Biblio	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	JURISDICTION TO OPEN AND SUPERVISE THE INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS JURISDICTION UNDER VIS ATTRACTIVA CONSOLIDATING INSOLVENCY-RELATED AND NON-INSOLVENCY-RELATED ACTIONS JURISDICTION ON PRESERVATION AND RECOVERY MEASURES SPECIAL JURISDICTIONAL REGIMES a. Immovables and employment contracts b. Cooperation SETTLING DISPUTES ON JURISDICTION JURISDICTION AND ADRS IN EU CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY	8.001 8.008 8.013 8.017 8.023 8.023 8.027 8.034 8.040
Index	(xi